



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,821	04/18/2005	Vincet Sharma	09669/064001	3942
22511	7590	05/03/2007	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P.			PHUONG, DAI	
1221 MCKINNEY STREET			ART UNIT	
SUITE 2800			PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77010			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/531,821	Applicant(s) SHARMA, VINEET	
	Examiner Dai A. Phuong	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 11/25/2005, with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 7-8 and 16 have been canceled and claim 21 has been added. Claims 1-6, 9-15 and 17-21 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. **Claims 1-10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 8-10 are objected to because the claims do not identify "IMSI.sub.A", "ADM.sub.A", and "Ki.sub.A".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-2, 5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boivin (Pub. No: 20030092436).

Regarding claim 1, Boivin discloses a method for managing phone numbers attribution after replacement of a first portable object (SCA) by a second portable object (SCB), the first portable object (SCA) being coupled to a communication device (CD) arranged to communicate with a network, the first portable object (SCA) comprising a first identification data (IMSI.sub.A, IMSI.sub.A, Ki.sub.A) identifying a first phone number (MSISDNA), wherein the method comprises the following steps:

a first sending step, in which the communication device (CD) sends to an application server (AS) a message including a second identification data identifying a second phone number (MSISDNB) assigned to the second portable object (SCB) ([0025] to [0030]. It is obvious that the IMSI assigned to SIM (see 20040218045, paragraph 105) and the phone number assigned to SIM, not to a phone (see 20030103470, paragraph 9));

an inserting step, in which the second portable object (SCB) is inserted in the communication device (CD), the second portable object (SCB) comprising a second identification data (IMSI.sub.B, ADM.sub.B, Ki.sub.B) identifying the second phone number (MSISDN.sub.B) ([0025] to [0030]); and

a second sending step, in which an application server (AS) sends a message (M2) for replacing, in the second portable object (SCB), the second identification data (IMSI.sub.B, ADM.sub.B, Ki.sub.B) by the first identification data (IMSI.sub.A, ADM.sub.A, Ki.sub.A) attached to the first phone number (MSISDN.sub.A) ([0025] to [0030]. It is inherent that the apparatus and/or system include software and hardware to perform these tasks).

Regarding claim 2, Boivin discloses all the limitations in claim 1. Further, Boivin discloses the method wherein the method further comprises a using step, in which a user uses the second portable object (SCB) with the first phone number (MSISDN.sub.A) ([0025] to [0030]).

Regarding claim 5, Boivin discloses all the limitations in claim 1. Further, Boivin discloses the method wherein in the second sending step, the application server (AS) sends a secure message ([0025] to [0030]).

Regarding claim 7, Boivin discloses all the limitations in claim 2. Further, Boivin discloses the method herein in the using step, the communication device (CD) communicates with the network using the second portable object (SCB), the first phone number (MSISDN.sub.A,) and the first identification data (IMSI.sub.A, ADM.sub.A, Ki.sub.A) ([0025] to [0030]).

Regarding claim 8, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 10, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boivin (Pub. No: 20030092436) in view of Reemtsma (Pub. No: 20050075137).

Regarding claim 3, Boivin discloses all the limitations in claim 1. However, Boivin does not disclose the method wherein before the first sending step, the application server (AS) sends a secure message (step 3) for deleting, in the first portable object (SCA), the first identification data (IMSI.sub.A, ADM.sub.A, Ki.sub.A).

In the same field of endeavor, Reemtsma discloses the method wherein before the first sending step, the application server (AS) sends a secure message (step 3) for deleting, in the first portable object (SCA), the first identification data (IMSI.sub.A, ADM.sub.A, Ki.sub.A) ([0030] to [0031]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile apparatus of Boivin by specifically including disclose the method wherein before the first sending step, the application server (AS) sends a secure message (step 3) for deleting, in the first portable object (SCA), the first identification data (IMSI.sub.A, ADM.sub.A, Ki.sub.A), as taught by Reemtsma, the motivation being in order to provide that the proper activation of the new SIM card and deactivation of the old SIM card are carried out while in dialog with the subscriber via a migration server connected to the mobile communications network.

Art Unit: 2617

8. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boivin (Pub. No: 20030092436) in view of Reemtsma (Pub. No: 20050075137) and further in view of Sonera Oy (International Publication Number: WO 98/56201).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Boivin and Reemtsma disclose all the limitations in claim 1. However, the combination of Boivin and Reemtsma do not disclose the method wherein the secure message (M3) is encrypted, the encryption being performed by using an encryption key attached to the portable object (SCA), and by using an algorithm that resides both on the Application Server (AS), and on the portable object (SCA).

In the same field of endeavor, Sonera Oy discloses the secure message (M3) is encrypted, the encryption being performed by using an encryption key attached to the portable object (SCA), and by using an algorithm that resides both on the Application Server (AS), and on the portable object (SCA) (page 1, lines 25-35 and page 2, line 31 to page 3, line 30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile apparatus of Boivin by specifically including the secure message (M3) is encrypted, the encryption being performed by using an encryption key attached to the portable object (SCA), and by using an algorithm that resides both on the Application Server (AS), and on the portable object (SCA), as taught by Sonera Oy, the motivation being in order to allow better and faster customer service in respect of subscriber identity modules.

Regarding claim 6, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4.

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dai A Phuong whose telephone number is 571-272-7896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nguyen M Duc can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-7503.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dai Phuong

AU: 2617

Date: 04/25/07



DUC M. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600